



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/687,941 | 10/17/2003 | Abdul Kayam | 24043-08537 | 9901 |

758 7590 02/20/2008
FENWICK & WEST LLP
SILICON VALLEY CENTER
801 CALIFORNIA STREET
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

WANG, BEN C

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2192

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

02/20/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

mn

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/687,941 | | KAYAM ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Ben C. Wang | | 2192 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 17, 20-50 and 62-67 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 17, 20-50, 62-67 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/ are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 3, 2008 has been entered.

2. Applicant's amendment dated January 3, 2008, responding to the final Office action mailed September 14, 2007 provided in the rejection of claims 1-14, 17, 20-50, and 62-64, wherein claims 1-14, 17, 20-48, and 62-63 have been amended, claims 15-16, 18-19, 51-61 have been canceled, and claims 65-67 are new.

Claims 1-14, 17, 20-50, and 62-67 remain pending in the application and which have been fully considered by the examiner.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims rejection have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection – see *Saga*, art made of record, as applied hereto.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102(b)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-9, 35-41, 49-50, 63-65, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saga Software, Inc., (WO 00/29924) (hereinafter 'Saga' - art made of record)

4. **As to claim 1** (Currently Amended), Saga discloses a method of creating an application for executing on at least one machine having a memory, the method comprising:

- creating a definition of at least one node (e.g., P. 39, Lines 15-21 - ... a node is a physical process that runs on a host and supports one or more services The user must create at least one node for each host that runs an enterprise application that the user wants to integrate. The user can have as many nodes as the user's business requirements dictate) and a specification which are held in at least one machine readable data file (e.g., P. 16, "Message definitions"; Abstract, Lines 5-8 – the message object includes a message schema ... the message schema is a hierarchical data structure including a plurality of message elements ... section elements ... table elements ... item elements. Item elements

- contain data native to selected ones of a plurality of enterprise applications; Figs. 5(a) through 5(c); P. 23, Lines 2-4 – there are three basic types of definition ... (1) a message definition; (2) a transformer definition; and (3) a filter definition);
- the specification defining how the at least one node can interact with other nodes during processing of the machine readable data file (e.g., Fig. 5(a), element 518 – a message hub; P. 23, Lines 32-34 - ... message hubs are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 28, Lines 28-30 – Hubs are message holding areas for adapters and transformers. Hubs allow adapters and transformers to exchange message asynchronously, and simplify links among objects), resources useable by the at least one node during processing of the machine readable data file (e.g., Fig. 2, element 300 - Application Resources; P. 21, Lines 7-15 - ... to maintain state and negotiate transactions with the application resources ... integrating application resources From such application resources), at least one set of predetermined rules to be used by the node for processing data (e.g., P. 5, Lines 8-9 – the business rules engine allows organizations to process messages based upon the unique requirements of their business; P. 9, Lines 16-25 - ... stores ... a plurality of rules ... a rules evaluation engine using the rules stored in the database storage system ...) and messages which are arranged to be passed between nodes such that the node is arranged to receive messages which trigger a rule if predetermined data is present (e.g., P. 17, Lines 6-8 - ... uses messages to enable applications on the same or

different platforms to communicate ...; P. 18, Lines 14-15 – a “system message” is a message, in platform-neutral format, that the system uses to move data from application to application; P. 20, Lines 29-33 – ... each such intelligent agent-adapter, regardless of its type, generally comprises a runtime interface module connecting a particular one of the external application resources to system; P. 20, Lines 17-24 – ... intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process event ...);

- providing a run time environment which processes the at least one machine readable data file in order to implement the at least one node within the memory of the machine such that the node arranged to receive data, process the received data according to the set of predetermined rules and output the processed data (e.g., Fig. 1; P. 12, Lines 1-9 – ... a simplistic view of an enterprise computing runtime environment ...; Lines 27-29 – ... provides a reliable store-and-forward messaging system,);
- wherein the processing of the machine readable data file, in the run time environment, dynamically interconnects each node according to the specification (e.g., P.20, Lines 17-19 – ... implements intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process events. It executes static and dynamic context sensitive rules ...; Lines 25-26 – ... dynamic configuration of system; Figs. 10(a) and 10(b); P. 23, Lines 32-34 – ... message hubs are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 3, Lines 28-31 – ... message oriented

middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...) and/or data input such that data input to the application is processed by the at least one node (e.g., P. 17, Lines 31-33 - ... a "primary input message" is the main input data to the system transformation processes specified in transformer definitions. The system takes input data, transforms it, and creates output data needed by target applications) and, if further processing is required, forwarded to other nodes for that further processing (e.g., P. 12, Lines 27-29 - ... provides a reliable store-and-forward messaging system ...).

5. **As to claim 2** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Saga discloses the method in which a plurality of nodes are created (e.g., P. 39, Lines 19-21 - ... as many nodes as ...).

6. **As to claim 3** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Saga discloses the method which further comprises providing a library of nodes containing at least one node and selecting at least one of the nodes from the library of nodes (e.g., Fig. 3, element 132 – Class Libraries).

7. **As to claim 4** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Saga discloses the method which further comprises arranging the or each node to comprise a plurality of layers, each layer being arranged to perform a predetermined function (e.g.,

P. 15, Lines 18-20 - an "Enterprise Messaging Service (EMS)"; P. 17, Lines 6-8 - "Message-Oriented Middleware (MON)" ... to enable application on the same or different platforms to communicate ...; P. 20, Lines 2-3 - ... including class libraries, wizards, and templates ...).

8. **As to claim 5** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 4), Saga discloses the method which further comprises arranging the layers of the nodes to be interchangeable and wherein altering at least one of the layers can change the overall functionality of a node (e.g., P. 3, Lines 28-31 - ... message oriented middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...).

9. **As to claim 6** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 4), Saga discloses the method which further comprises providing a library of layers containing at least one layer and selecting at least one layer from the library of layers (e.g., Fig. 3, element 132 – Class Libraries).

10. **As to claim 7** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 4), Saga discloses the method which comprises arranging at least one of the layers of a node to act as a transport layer arranged to receive and send data to and from the node (e.g., P. 17, Lines 6-8 – "Message-Oriented Middleware (MON)" ... to enable applications on the same or different platforms to communicate ...).

11. **As to claim 8** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 4), Saga discloses the method which comprises arranging at least one of the layers of a node to act as a message transceiver arranged to send and receive messages to other nodes to which that node is connected (e.g., P. 15, Lines 18-20 – an “Enterprise Messaging Service (EMS)” ... using the Java® Messaging Server (JMS). It enables system to use multiple messaging modes, and supports message hubs and provides message persistence).

12. **As to claim 9** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 8), Saga discloses the method in which the at least one node has an identity and in which the application is arranged to be run and, at runtime, as nodes are connected together, the method further comprising arranging the message transceiver layer of a node to discover the identity of nodes to which it is connected at runtime (e.g., Figs. 10(a) and 10(b); P. 23, Lines 32-34 - ... message hubs are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 3, Lines 28-31 - ... message oriented middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...).

13. **As to claim 35** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Saga discloses the method in which at least one node is provided to provide an output

from the application (e.g., P. 17, Lines 31-33 - ... a “primary input message” is the main input data to the system transformation processes specified in transformer definitions. The system takes input data, transforms it, and creates output data needed by target applications).

14. **As to claim 36** (Currently Amended), Saga discloses a computer system having a memory and being arranged to create an application, said system comprising:

- a node creator arranged to create a definition of at least one node and a specification, and for each node specified (e.g., P. 39, Lines 15-21 - ... a node is a physical process that runs on a host and supports one or more services

The user must create at least one node for each host that runs an enterprise application that the user wants to integrate. The user can have as many nodes as the user's business requirements dictate), the specification defining how that node will interact with any other nodes during processing of a machine readable data file (e.g., Fig. 5(a), element 518 – a message hub; P. 23, Lines 32-34 - ...

message hubs are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects;

P. 28, Lines 28-30 – Hubs are message holding areas for adapters and transformers. Hubs allow adapters and transformers to exchange message asynchronously, and simplify links among objects), resources useable by that node during processing of the machine-readable data file (Fig. 2, element 300 - Application Resources; P. 21, Lines 7-15 - ... to maintain state and negotiate

transactions with the application resources ... integrating application resources From such application resources), a set of predetermined rules to be used by that node for processing data (e.g., P. 5, Lines 8-9 – the business rules engine allows organizations to process messages based upon the unique requirements of their business; P. 9, Lines 16-25 - ... stores ... a plurality of rules ... a rules evaluation engine using the rules stored in the database storage system ...) and messages that are arranged to be passed between that node (e.g.,) and any other nodes such that that node is arranged to receive messages which can trigger a rule if predetermined data is present, the node being arranged to process data according to the set of predetermined rules (e.g., P. 17, Lines 6-8 - ... uses messages to enable applications on the same or different platforms to communicate ...; P. 18, Lines 14-15 – a “system message” is a message, in platform-neutral format, that the system uses to move data from application to application; P. 20, Lines 29-33 - ... each such intelligent agent-adaptor, regardless of its type, generally comprises a runtime interface module connecting a particular one of the external application resources to system; P. 20, Lines 17-24 - ... intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process event ...) and generate an output therefrom (e.g., P. 17, Lines 31-33 - ... a “primary input message” is the main input data to the system transformation processes specified in transformer definitions. The system takes input data, transforms it, and creates output data needed by target applications);

- a linker arranged to connect, dynamically according to the specification and/or any data input to the application, at least two nodes such that data is arranged to pass between the nodes and the linker being arranged to interact with the node creator to modify the definition; deployer arranged to deploy the application from the definition created by the node creator and the linker according to a specification (e.g., P.20, Lines 17-19 - ... implements intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process events. It executes static and dynamic context sensitive rules ...; Lines 25-26 - ... dynamic configuration of system; Figs. 10(a) and 10(b); P. 23, Lines 32-34 - ... message hubs (i.e., a linker) are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 3, Lines 28-31 - ... message oriented middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...).

15. **As to claim 37** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 36), Saga discloses the computer system which comprises at least one processor arranged to process data, including the definition (e.g., "Message definitions"; Abstract, Lines 5-8 – the message object includes a message schema ... the message schema is a hierarchical data structure including a plurality of message elements ... section elements ... table elements ... item elements. Item elements contain data native to selected ones of a plurality of enterprise applications; Figs. 5(a) through 5(c); P. 23, Lines 2-4 – there are three basic types of definition ... (1) a message definition; (2) a

transformer definition; and (3) a filter definition), and on which the definition created by the node creator and modified by the linker is processed (e.g., Figs. 10(a) and 10(b); P. 23, Lines 32-34 - ... message hubs (i.e., a linker) are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 3, Lines 28-31 - ... message oriented middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...).

16. **As to claim 38** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 37), Saga discloses the computer system which comprises at least one processing apparatus comprising the at least one processor and in which the linker is arranged to connect nodes running on the processor within the processing apparatus (e.g., Figs. 10(a) and 10(b); P. 23, Lines 32-34 - ... message hubs (i.e., a linker) are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 3, Lines 28-31 - ... message oriented middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...).

17. **As to claim 39** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 38), Saga discloses the computer system which comprises a plurality of processors, each remote from the other and having connector therebetween capable of transmitting data between the processors (e.g., P. 17, Lines 6-8 - ... uses messages to enable

applications on the same or different platforms to communicate ...; P. 18, Lines 14-15 – a “system message” is a message, in platform-neutral format, that the system uses to move data from application to application; P. 20, Lines 29-33 - ... each such intelligent agent-adaptor, regardless of its type, generally comprises a runtime interface module connecting a particular one of the external application resources to system; P. 20, Lines 17-24 - ... intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process event ...).

18. **As to claim 40** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 39), Saga discloses the computer system in which each of the processors is provided on a separate processing apparatus (e.g., P. 17, Lines 6-8 - ... uses messages to enable applications on the same or different platforms to communicate ...; P. 18, Lines 14-15 – a “system message” is a message, in platform-neutral format, that the system uses to move data from application to application; P. 20, Lines 29-33 - ... each such intelligent agent-adaptor, regardless of its type, generally comprises a runtime interface module connecting a particular one of the external application resources to system; P. 20, Lines 17-24 - ... intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process event ...).

19. **As to claim 41** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 39), Saga discloses the computer system in which the linker is arranged to connect nodes provided on processors remote from one another (e.g., Figs. 10(a) and 10(b); P. 23,

Lines 32-34 - ... message hubs (i.e., a linker) are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 3, Lines 28-31 - ... message oriented middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...).

20. **As to claim 49** (Original) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), refer to claim 1 above accordingly.

21. **As to claim 50** (Original) (incorporating the rejection in claim 36), refer to claim 36 above accordingly.

22. **As to claim 63** (Currently Amended), Saga discloses a computer system having a memory and being arranged to run an application, said system comprising:

- a run time environment arranged to process at least one machine readable data file (e.g., Fig. 1; P. 12, Lines 1-9 - ... a simplistic view of an enterprise computing runtime environment ...; Lines 27-29 - ... provides a reliable store-and-forward messaging system,), the machine readable data file providing a definition of at least one node (e.g., P. 39, Lines 15-21 - ... a node is a physical process that runs on a host and supports one or more services The user must create at least one node for each host that runs an enterprise application that the user wants to integrate. The user can have as many nodes as the user's business

requirements dictate; P. 16, "Message definitions"; Abstract, Lines 5-8 – the message object includes a message schema ... the message schema is a hierarchical data structure including a plurality of message elements ... section elements ... table elements ... item elements. Item elements contain data native to selected ones of a plurality of enterprise applications; Figs. 5(a) through 5(c); P. 23, Lines 2-4 – there are three basic types of definition ... (1) a message definition; (2) a transformer definition; and (3) a filter definition) and a specification, the specification defining how the at least one node is arranged to interact with other nodes during processing of the machine readable data file (e.g., Figs. 10(a) and 10(b); P. 23, Lines 32-34 - ... message hubs are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 3, Lines 28-31 - ... message oriented middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...), resources useable by the at least one node during processing of the machine readable data file (e.g., Fig. 2, element 300 - Application Resources; P. 21, Lines 7-15 - ... to maintain state and negotiate transactions with the application resources ... integrating application resources From such application resources), at least one set of predetermined rules (e.g., P. 5, Lines 8-9 – the business rules engine allows organizations to process messages based upon the unique requirements of their business; P. 9, Lines 16-25 - ... stores ... a plurality of rules ... a rules evaluation engine using the rules stored in the database storage system ...) to

be used by the node for processing data and messages (e.g., P. 17, Lines 6-8 - ... uses messages to enable applications on the same or different platforms to communicate ...; P. 18, Lines 14-15 – a “system message” is a message, in platform-neutral format, that the system uses to move data from application to application; P. 20, Lines 29-33 - ... each such intelligent agent-adapter, regardless of its type, generally comprises a runtime interface module connecting a particular one of the external application resources to system; P. 20, Lines 17-24 - ... intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process event ...) that are arranged to be passed between nodes such that a node is arranged to receive messages which trigger a rule if predetermined data is present (e.g., P. 17, Lines 6-8 - ... uses messages to enable applications on the same or different platforms to communicate ...; P. 18, Lines 14-15 – a “system message” is a message, in platform-neutral format, that the system uses to move data from application to application; P. 20, Lines 29-33 - ... each such intelligent agent-adapter, regardless of its type, generally comprises a runtime interface module connecting a particular one of the external application resources to system; P. 20, Lines 17-24 - ... intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process event ...);

- wherein the run time environment comprises a linker which is arranged to connect, dynamically according to the specification and/or any data input to the application, the at least one node to any other nodes such that data input to the application is processed by the at least one node and, if further processing is

required, forwarded to the other nodes for that further processing (e.g., P.20, Lines 17-19 - ... implements intelligent messaging by triggering and executing integration flows to process events. It executes static and dynamic context sensitive rules ...; Lines 25-26 - ... dynamic configuration of system; Figs. 10(a) and 10(b); P. 23, Lines 32-34 - ... message hubs (i.e., a linker) are used to receive system messages ... and to hold those system messages .. can deliver same to one or more target integration objects; P. 3, Lines 28-31 - ... message oriented middleware (MOM) ... one-to-one application connectivity with MOM ... in many-to-many application integration ...; P. 12, Lines 27-29 - ... provides a reliable store-and-forward messaging system ...).

23. **As to claim 64** (Previously Presented) (incorporating the rejection in claim 63), refer to claim **63** above accordingly.

24. **As to claim 65** (New) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Saga discloses the method wherein the or each node is arranged to manipulate data contained in a message (e.g., P. 19, Lines 8-9 – “To “transform data” is a process in which transformers modify data taken from one or more enterprise applications into data needed by other enterprise applications).

25. **As to claim 67** (New) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Saga discloses the method wherein the or each node is arranged, during processing of the machine

readable data file, to output data to any of the nodes to which it is arranged to be connected (e.g., P. 17, Lines 31-33 - ... a "primary input message" is the main input data to the system transformation processes specified in transformer definitions. The system takes input data, transforms it, and creates output data needed by target applications).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

26. Claims 20-26, 28-34, 42-48, 62, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saga in view of Thilmany et al. (*BizTalk®: Implement Design Patterns for Business Rules with Orchestration Designer*, Oct. 2001, MSDN® Magazine) (hereinafter 'Thilmany')

27. **As to claim 20** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Saga does not explicitly disclose the method which comprises providing a pattern, arranging the at least one node within the pattern and defining how nodes therein interact with one another.

However, in an analogous art of *Implement Design Patterns for Business Rules with Orchestration Designer*, Thilmany discloses the method which comprises providing a pattern, arranging the at least one node within the pattern and defining how nodes therein interact with one another (e.g., SUMMARY – this article describes several traditional design patterns including the Observer pattern and the Dispatcher pattern, elaborates on their structures, what they're used for, and how they can help you build a Biztalk®-based solution; Sec. of The Chain of Responsibility Pattern, 1st Par. – the intent of the Chain of Responsibility pattern is to provide a loosely coupled sender/receiver relationship by providing more than one component an opportunity to handle a request; this chains all receiving components and passes the request along the chain until the request is handled).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Thilmany into the Saga's system to further provide the method which comprises providing a pattern, arranging the at least one node within the pattern and defining how nodes therein interact with one another in the Saga system.

The motivation is that it would further enhance the Saga's system by taking, advancing and/or incorporating Thilmany's system which offers significant advantages that the sample application will show how applying patterns to BizTalk® can significantly ease the development and design for each sub-department as once suggested by Thilmany (e.g., P. 1, 5th Par.).

28. **As to claim 21** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 20), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises providing a library of patterns containing at least one pattern that can be used in creating an application (e.g., SUMMARY – this article describes several traditional design patterns including the Observer pattern and the Dispatcher pattern, elaborates on their structures, what they're used for, and how they can help you build a Biztalk-based solution; Sec. of The Chain of Responsibility Pattern, 1st Par. – the intent of the Chain of Responsibility pattern is to provide a loosely coupled sender/receiver relationship by providing more than one component an opportunity to handle a request; this chains all receiving components and passes the request along the chain until the request is handled; Sec. of Design Patterns versus Architectural Patterns, 1st Par. – Reusability not only applies to the components themselves, but also to the stages the design must go through to morph itself into your final solution; the ability to apply a patterned repeatable solution is worth the little time spent learning formal patterns, or to even formalize you own).

29. **As to claim 22** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Thilmany discloses the method in which the specification is arranged to determine at least one of the following: which nodes are to be used; which nodes interact with one another; which patterns are to be used; which assets are to be used (e.g., SUMMARY – this article describes several traditional design patterns including the Observer pattern and the Dispatcher pattern, elaborates on their structures, what they're used for, and how they can help you build a Biztalk-based solution; Sec. of The Chain of

Responsibility Pattern, 1st Par. – the intent of the Chain of Responsibility pattern is to provide a loosely coupled sender/receiver relationship by providing more than one component an opportunity to handle a request; this chains all receiving components and passes the request along the chain until the request is handled; Sec. of The Chain of Responsibility Pattern, 2nd Par. – as is the case of our product support sample, the request may not be fulfilled at one application site due to the distribution of the knowledge bases used to answer a request; the request must be routed until it can be handled).

30. **As to claim 23** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises providing files arranged to define the application specified therein, arranging the specification to be capable of deploying files and using the specification to deploy the files (e.g., Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

31. **As to claim 24** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 23), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises arranging the files specifying the application to be XML files (e.g., Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool

that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

32. **As to claim 25** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Thilmany discloses the method in which the data processed by the application is specified in an XML file (e.g., Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

33. **As to claim 26** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Thilmany discloses the method in which data processed by the application is specified in an image file (e.g., Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of message quest, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; with the Orchestration Designer, analysts not privy to the implementation complexities of COM or Web development can participate in the development of business rules by using the designer in Visio® to lay out the business flow; the developer can then implement the moving parts that these designers orchestrate).

34. **As to claim 28** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises providing a graphical tool arranged to enable a user to specify components of the application (e.g., Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of message quest, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; with the Orchestration Designer, analysts not privy to the implementation complexities of COM or Web development can participate in the development of business rules by using the designer in Visio® to lay out the business flow; the developer can then implement the moving parts that these designers orchestrate; Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk® Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

35. **As to claim 29** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 28), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises providing a library of at least one of the following: nodes; node layers; specification; patterns; messages; rule sets; style sheets; schemas and in which the graphical tool allows a user to select components from one of said libraries (e.g., Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of message quest, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; with the Orchestration

Designer, analysts not privy to the implementation complexities of COM or Web development can participate in the development of business rules by using the designer in Visio® to lay out the business flow; the developer can then implement the moving parts that these designers orchestrate; SUMMARY – this article describes several traditional design patterns including the Observer pattern and the Dispatcher pattern, elaborates on their structures, what they're used for, and how they can help you build a Biztalk®-based solution; Sec. of The Chain of Responsibility Pattern, 1st Par. – the intent of the Chain of Responsibility pattern is to provide a loosely coupled sender/receiver relationship by providing more than one component an opportunity to handle a request; this chains all receiving components and passes the request along the chain until the request is handled; Sec. of The Chain of Responsibility Pattern, 2nd Par. – as is the case of our product support sample, the request may not be fulfilled at one application site due to the distribution of the knowledge bases used to answer a request; the request must be routed until it can be handled).

36. **As to claim 30** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 29), Thilmany discloses the method which allows a user to define further libraries (e.g., Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of message quest, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; with the Orchestration Designer®, analysts not privy to the implementation complexities of COM or Web development can participate in the

development of business rules by using the designer in Visio to lay out the business flow; the developer can then implement the moving parts that these designers orchestrate; Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

37. **As to claim 31** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 28), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises providing at least one pattern arranged to define how nodes interact arranging the at least one pattern such that it is capable of interacting with at least one other pattern and arranging the graphical tool to allow a user to specify how the patterns and nodes interact with one another (e.g., SUMMARY – this article describes several traditional design patterns including the Observer pattern and the Dispatcher pattern, elaborates on their structures, what they're used for, and how they can help you build a Biztalk®-based solution; Sec. of The Chain of Responsibility Pattern, 1st Par. – the intent of the Chain of Responsibility pattern is to provide a loosely coupled sender/receiver relationship by providing more than one component an opportunity to handle a request; this chains all receiving components and passes the request along the chain until the request is handled; Sec. of The Chain of Responsibility Pattern, 2nd Par. – as is the case of our product support sample, the request may not be fulfilled at one application site due to the distribution of the knowledge bases used to answer a request; the request must be routed until it can be handled).

38. **As to claim 32** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 28), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises using the graphical tool to perform at least one of the following: create the specification; edit the specification (e.g., Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of message quest, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; with the Orchestration Designer, analysts not privy to the implementation complexities of COM or Web development can participate in the development of business rules by using the designer in Visio to lay out the business flow; the developer can then implement the moving parts that these designers orchestrate; Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

39. **As to claim 33** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 28), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises using the graphical tool to manipulate any components of the specification (e.g., Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of message quest, COM component, BizTalk Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; with the Orchestration Designer®, analysts not privy to the implementation complexities

of COM or Web development can participate in the development of business rules by using the designer in Visio® to lay out the business flow; the developer can then implement the moving parts that these designers orchestrate; Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

40. **As to claim 34** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Thilmany discloses the method which comprises creating and deploying files and processing the files in the run time environment (e.g., Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of message quest, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; with the Orchestration Designer®, analysts not privy to the implementation complexities of COM or Web development can participate in the development of business rules by using the designer in Visio to lay out the business flow; the developer can then implement the moving parts that these designers orchestrate; Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

41. **As to claim 42** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 36), Thilmany discloses the computer system in which the deployer deploys the definition that causes the nodes to communicate with one another using one of HTTP and direct memory protocols (e.g., Sec. of the chain of responsibility pattern, 1st Par. – the intent of the Chain of Responsibility pattern is to provide a loosely coupled sender/receiver relationship by providing more than one component an opportunity to handle a request; this chains all receiving components and passes the request along the chain until the request is handled; Sec. of Using the BizTalk Orchestration Designer®, 2nd Par. – ports are named locations where messages are sent and received; ports can be defined initially as unbound or bound; Sec. of BizTalk and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of a message queue, COM component, BizTalk Channel, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; orchestration designer provides a way to create loosely coupled business processes that may optionally be long-running a nature).

42. **As to claim 43** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 36), Thilmany discloses the computer system in which the node creation means creator is arranged to utilise at least one of the following: predetermined definitions and pre-written definitions (e.g., Sec. of Using the BizTalk Orchestration Designer®, 1st Par. – there are three key object categories in the business process page of the Orchestration Designer®; flowchart shapes, ports, and implementation shapes; conceptually, the way

this works is that you, or your friendly neighborhood business analyst, use the flowchart shapes to create the process flow; the flowchart shapes support basic constructs such as if ... then ... else decisions, looping while a condition is true, branching execution, rejoining the branches together, and defining transactional boundaries).

43. **As to claim 44** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 43), Thilmany discloses the computer system in which the pre-written definition is provided in at least one library (e.g., P. 1, 6th Par. – the sample application will show how applying patterns to Biztalk can significantly ease the development and design for each sub-department; it will provide the ability to answer and route online product support questions effectively and efficiently; Sec. of Design Patterns versus Architectural Patterns, 1st Par. – design patterns help make the design process faster; this allows solution providers to take the time to concentrate on the business implementation; more importantly, patterns help formalize the design to make it reusable; reusability not only applies to the components themselves, but also the stages the design must go through to morph itself into your final solution; Sec. of Biztalk and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of a message queue, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; Orchestration Designer provides a way to create loosely coupled business processes that may optionally be long-running in nature).

44. **As to claim 45** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 36), Thilmany discloses the computer system which further comprises a pattern creation means creator arranged to create at least one pattern of nodes (e.g., P. 1, 6th Par. – the sample application will show how applying patterns to Biztalk® can significantly ease the development and design for each sub-department; it will provide the ability to answer and route online product support questions effectively and efficiently; Sec. of Design Patterns versus Architectural Patterns, 1st Par. – design patterns help make the design process faster; this allows solution providers to take the time to concentrate on the business implementation; more importantly, patterns help formalize the design to make it reusable; reusability not only applies to the components themselves, but also the stages the design must go through to morph itself into your final solution; Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of a message queue, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; Orchestration Designer provides a way to create loosely coupled business processes that may optionally be long-running in nature).

45. **As to claim 46** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 36), Thilmany discloses the computer system which further comprises a pattern cloner arranged to clone a pattern of nodes (e.g., P. 1, 6th Par. – the sample application will show how applying patterns to Biztalk can significantly ease the development and design for each sub-department; it will provide the ability to answer and route online

product support questions effectively and efficiently; Sec. of Design Patterns versus Architectural Patterns, 1st Par. – design patterns help make the design process faster; this allows solution providers to take the time to concentrate on the business implementation; more importantly, patterns help formalize the design to make it reusable; reusability not only applies to the components themselves, but also the stages the design must go through to morph itself into your final solution; Sec. of Biztalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the designer provides a high-level means of orchestrating a middleware system's moving parts; those moving parts can take the form of a message queue, COM component, BizTalk® Channel, file, e-mail message, or HTTP-based service; Orchestration Designer provides a way to create loosely coupled business processes that may optionally be long-running in nature).

46. **As to claim 47** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 36), Thilmany discloses the computer system which further comprises a rule creation means creator arranged to allow predetermined rules to be created and edited (e.g., Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML).

47. **As to claim 48** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 36), Thilmany discloses the computer system which further comprises at least one of the following: a node storage (e.g., Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 3rd

Par. – the Biztalk Orchestration Designer® is a Microsoft Visio® 2000-based design tool that, when compiled, can run as an XLANG® schedule; XLANG® itself is described through XML); a pattern storage (e.g., P. 1, 6th Par. – the sample application will show how applying patterns to Biztalk can significantly ease the development and design for each sub-department; it will provide the ability to answer and route online product support questions effectively and efficiently); a rule storage (e.g., Sec. of Using the BizTalk Orchestration Designer®, 1st Par. – there are three key object categories in the business process page of the Orchestration Designer®; flowchart shapes, ports, and implementation shapes; conceptually, the way this works is that you, or your friendly neighborhood business analyst, use the flowchart shapes to create the process flow; the flowchart shapes support basic constructs such as if ... then ... else decisions, looping while a condition is true, branching execution, rejoining the branches together, and defining transactional boundaries).

48. **As to claim 62** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Thilmany discloses the method in which the node, specification, and messages are at least in part written in XML (e.g., Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 2nd Par. – communicating to a BizTalk® server implementation simply means using XML as the message format; as you may already know, BizTalk® is completely structured around XML and uses a SOAP 1.1 XML message format; the BizTalk® friendly XML message is nothing more than a SOAP 1.1 XML message with additional biz-tags to comply with the BizTalk® Framework specification).

49. **As to claim 66** (New) (incorporating the rejection in claim 65), Thilmany discloses the method wherein the or each node is arranged to manipulate XML data contained in the message (e.g., Sec. of BizTalk® and the Orchestration Designer®, 2nd Par. – communicating to a BizTalk® server implementation simply means using XML as the message format; as you may already know, BizTalk® is completely structured around XML and uses a SOAP 1.1 XML message format; the BizTalk® friendly XML message is nothing more than a SOAP 1.1 XML message with additional biz-tags to comply with the BizTalk® Framework specification)

50. Claims 10-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saga in view of Moore et al., (Pub. No. US 2004/0034848 A1 B1) (hereinafter 'Moore')

51. **As to claim 10** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 4), Saga does not explicitly disclose the method which comprises arranging at least one of the layers of a node to act as a rule processing engine arranged to apply the predetermined rules to data that the node receives.

However, in an analogous art of *Rule Engine*, Moore discloses the method which comprises arranging at least one of the layers of a node to act as a rule processing engine arranged to apply the predetermined rules to data that the node receives (e.g., Fig. 1 – element of 140 – Business Intelligence Server; [0031], Lines 16-18 – rule-packs

are implemented as extensible markup language (XML) documents expressed in a rules markup language generated for that purpose).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Moore into the Saga's system to further provide the method which comprises arranging at least one of the layers of a node to act as a rule processing engine arranged to apply the predetermined rules to data that the node receives in the Saga system.

The motivation is that it would further enhance the Saga's system by taking, advancing and/or incorporating Moore's system which offers significant advantages for automating business processes including, in a computer system, receiving a rule set as a single package, determining logical conflicts within the rule set, resolving the logical conflicts, and generating a sequence of processing logic from the rule set for optimal processing of inputted facts as once suggested by Moore (e.g., [0005]).

52. **As to claim 11** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 10), Moore discloses the method in which the rule processing engine layer of a node is arranged to use uses forward chaining rule logic (e.g., [0002], Lines 8-12 – forward chaining is a process of applying a set of previously determined rules to the facts in a knowledge base to see if any of them fire and thereby generate new facts; in essence, all derivable knowledge is derived in forward chaining).

53. **As to claim 12** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 10), Moore discloses a method which comprises providing a rule set of at least one rule in a file that is used by the rule processing engine (e.g., [0005], automating business processes including, in a computer system, receiving a rule set as a single package, determining logical conflicts within the rule set, resolving the logical conflicts, and generating a sequence of processing logic from the rule set for optimal processing of inputted facts).

54. **As to claim 14** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 10), Moore discloses the method which comprises defining each rule set that is to be used by the application in the specification (e.g., [0031], Lines 16-18 – rules-packs are implemented as extensible markup language (XML) documents expressed in a rules markup language generated for that purpose).

55. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saga in view of Moore and further in view of Lee et al. (*The Extensible Rule Markup Language*, May 2003, ACM) (hereinafter 'Lee')

56. **As to claim 13** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 12), Saga and Moore do not explicitly disclose the method which comprises specifying the file in which the rules are located by a link.

However, in an analogous art of *The Extensible Rule Markup Language*, Lee discloses the method which comprises specifying the file in which the rules are located by a link (e.g., P. 60, Left-Col, "Relevance Linkability" – Linkages of the relevance between hypertexts with RIML, as well as rules in RSML syntax (called RSML rules), should be expressed completely) .

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lee into the Saga-Moore's system to further provide the method which comprises specifying the file in which the rules are located by a link.

The motivation is that it would further enhance the Saga-Moore's system by taking, advancing and/or incorporating Lee's system which offers significant advantages that the Extensible Markup Language (XML) explicates the implicitly embedded data in a formal structure with mutually agreed-on semantic definitions; many industrial-strength standard initiatives using XML are under way, including the Electronic Business XML Initiative, XML Common Business Library, Open Trading Protocol, Open Business on the Internet, Common Business Language, RosettaNet, and Biztalk as once suggested by Lee (e.g., P. 59, 1st Par.).

57. Claims 17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saga in view of Bowman-Amuah (hereinafter 'Bowman-Amuah') (Pat. No. US 6,601,234 B1).

58. **As to claim 17** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), Saga does not explicitly disclose the method which comprises writing the messages in a flat text format, which may be any of the following: ASCII, XML, EDI (Electronic Data Interchange).

However, in an analogous art of *Attribute Dictionary in a Business Logic Services Environment*, Bowman-Amuah discloses the method which comprises writing the messages in a flat text format, which may be any of the following: ASCII (e.g., Col. 56, Lines 55-60), XML (e.g., Col. 41, Lines 39-43 - ... XML is currently playing an important role the realm of electronic commerce), EDI (Electronic Data Interchange) (e.g., Col. 78, Line 35 through Col. 79, Line 9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bowman-Amuah into the Saga's system to further provide the method which comprises writing the messages in a flat text format, which may be any of the following: ASCII, XML, EDI (Electronic Data Interchange).

The motivation is that it would further enhance the Saga's system by taking, advancing and/or Bowman-Amuah system which offers significant advantages for a system and method providing for controlling access to data of a business object via an attribute dictionary; the attribute dictionary, which stores attribute names and values, is dispatches over a network as once suggested by Bowman-Amuah (e.g., Abstract).

59. **As to claim 27** (Currently Amended) (incorporating the rejection in claim 1), refer to claim 17 above accordingly.

Conclusion

60. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben C. Wang whose telephone number is 571-270-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/687,941
Art Unit: 2192

Page 39

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BCW

\$w



TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

February 13, 2008